

Arizona Utility Investors Association

2100 N. Central, Ste. 210 P. O. Box 34805 Phoenix, AZ 85067

Tel: (602) 257-9200 Fax: (602) 254-4300

Email: info@auia.org Web Site: www.auia.org

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

1112

13

14

ORIGINAL



BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORRORATION CONUMISSION EDOCKETED

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL CHAIRMAN JAMES M. IRVIN COMMISSIONER MARC SPITZER COMMISSIONER OCT 1 9 2001 OCT 19 P 4: 37

DOCKETED BY AZ CORP COMMISSION BOOW ENT CONTROL

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF CITIZENS COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY, AGUA FRIA DIVISION, FOR 1) AN EXTENSION OF THE AREA **COVERED BY ITS EXISTING CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY, 2) APPROVAL OF** CATERPILLAR PROPERTY WATER/WASTEWATER AGREEMENT, 3) APPROVAL OF THE TARIFF FOR THE WATER FACILITIES HOOK-UP FEE, 4) APPROVAL OF THE TARIFF FOR GENERAL NON-POTABLE WATER SERVICE, AND 5) APPROVAL OF RULE NO. 12 APPLICABLE TO NON-POTABLE WATER SERVICE IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF CITIZENS WATER SERVICES COMPANY OF ARIZONA FOR 1) AN EXTENSION OF THE AREA COVERED BY ITS CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY FOR WASTEWATER SERVICE, 2) APPROVAL OF THE CATERPILLAR PROPERTY WATER/WASTEWATER AGREEMENT, AND 3) APPROVAL OF THE TARIFF FOR) THE WASTEWATER FACILITIES HOOK-UP FEE.

DOCKET NOS. W-01032B-00-1043

SW-03454A-00-1043

AUIA'S COMMENTS IN RESPONSE TO ISSUES RAISED BY THE COMMISSION

The Arizona Utility Investors Association (AUIA) hereby files its comments with regard to the issues raised by the Arizona Corporation Commission (Commission) at Open Meeting Oct. 2, 2001, as specified in the Procedural Order issued in this matter on Oct. 5, 2001.

AUIA will address only the Commission's questions regarding "need" and "urban sprawl" as they were expressed in the language of the procedural order: "in evaluating a request for extension of a CC&N, how the 'need' for the project is to be considered by the Commission; and whether the issue of 'urban sprawl' may be considered by the Commission in evaluating the appropriateness of a request for extension of a CC&N."

The Need Issue

¥.,...

AUIA would not argue that the Commission could not or should not consider need in connection with an application for a CC&N. Absent some consideration of need the state could be blanketed with CC&Ns that serve no valid public purpose.

However, need is established by the requirements of the potential end user and in this case, the end user's need is palpable. The end user is a respected developer, DMB, which has negotiated an agreement with the applicants (collectively, Citizens) to provide water and wastewater service in the CC&N extension area.

DMB has authority from the Town of Buckeye to proceed with development of an 8,800-acre master planned community that will eventually encompass more than 10,000 equivalent residential units (ERUs) and over 2,000 commercial units.

The extension area is adjacent to Citizens' Agua Fria Division. The Town of Buckeye has neither the infrastructure nor the potable water resources to provide water and wastewater utility service to the area.

The developer's assessment of its need is underscored by the fact that it is prepared to advance approximately \$30 million to the applicants to construct backbone facilities, to be repaid through service connection fees.

Urban Sprawl

The requirement for Public Service Corporations (PSCs) to obtain CC&Ns and the Commission's authority to grant them are set out in A.R.S. §40-281 and A.R.S. §40-282. Typically, the courts have construed narrowly the limits of statutory authority granted to the Commission and that should be the case here. Nowhere in statute is the Commission empowered to consider local land use issues in connection with a CC&N.

In fact, it is unthinkable that the Commission might don the mantle of a statewide planning and zoning commission. These matters are properly under the jurisdiction of county and municipal governments and the Commission has no authority to override or overrule their judgments.

1 The Commission's role in granting or denying a CC&N should be focused 2 on determining whether the applicant is a fit and proper entity and whether 3 granting the Certificate is in the public interest. In this case, the public interest is 4 defined by the commitments of the developer and the governing municipality. 5 However, let's cut to the chase. The real issue here is whether the 6 Commission has the authority to deny utility service to a developer or end user, 7 especially when there is a fit and proper entity prepared to deliver that service. 8 AUIA believes that the Commission does not have that authority and we would argue that the Commission has an affirmative duty to enable utility service when 10 it can be provided on acceptable terms. Conclusion 12 The "need" for the service extension sought by Citizens – in any context 13 that is within the Commission's purview- is clearly established by the actions of 14 the developer and the Town of Buckeye. Land use issues are the province of local government and are not proper criteria for the Commission to consider in granting CC&Ns. The Commission cannot deny utility service to developers or end users when it would otherwise be available. To assume otherwise would allow the Commission to become the chief arbiter of where development can take place in all areas served by private water companies. For these reasons, AUIA requests that the Commission grant the CC&N extension sought in this Application. 22 23 Respectfully Submitted, this 19th day of October, 2001. 24 25 26 Walter W. Meek, President 29 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 30

An original and ten copies of the foregoing Comments filed this 19th day of October, 2001 with:

34 35

9

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

27

28

31

32

33

Docket Control 36 Arizona Corporation Commission

37 1200 W. Washington Street

38 Phoenix, AZ 85007

1 2 3 4 5	Copies of the foregoing Comments delivered or mailed this 19th day of October, 2001, to:	
4	19th day of October, 2001, to.	
5	Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel	
6	Dwight D. Nodes, Administrative Law Judge	
	Steve Olea, Acting Director, Utilities Division	
7 8 9	Arizona Corporation Commission	
10	Ray Jones, General Manager	Todd C. Wiley, Esq.
11	Agua Fria Water Division	Gallagher & Kennedy
12 13	P.O. Box 1687	2575 E. Camelback Road
13 14	Sun City AZ 85372	Phoenix, AZ 85016-9225
15	Timothy Berg, Esq	Jeff Crockett, Esq.
16	Fennemore Craig	Snell & Wilmer
17	3003 N. Central Ave., Suite 2600	One Arizona Center
18	Phoenix, AZ 85012-2913	Phoenix, AZ 85004-0001
19		
20		
21	Willow W. Well	
22	Mallo W. Well	
23	Walter W. Meek	
24		
25		
25		